From: dbradley@ebenx.com@inetgw

To: Microsoft ATR

Date: 1/25/02 4:32pm

Subject: Microsoft Settlement

Hello,

I have multiple concerns regarding MS and any settlement.

I have yet to hear any plausible, sincere expression of \*contrition\* from MS, despite the judgement against them.

I believe any punishment should fit the crime. Since the judgement will not be reversed, any punishment or 'settlement' needs to address past, present, and potential future transgressions. I don't think it plausible that MS would be 'punished' by any attempt at a (even a well-intentioned) "behavior-modification-based' remedy, certainly not by one that actually EXTENDS their (monopolistically gained) marketshare.

Although it is unlikely given the current political climate, (and not precluded by the Appeals Court), I still wish and believe that a split-up MS would be an appropriate punishment because I believe that would create actual (both OS and application) competition amongst the industry players (Apple, Oracle, Netscape, OSF, etc.).

Isn't that what an anti-trust punishment SHOULD do? Souldn't any punishment/settlement be meet (at least) this test?

Unless the punishment is \_actually\_ painfull MS will be emboldened to continue to propagate software that makes it easy for some disgruntled teenager (or terrorist) from even a "third-world" location to infect/damage/commandeer-for-unsavory-purpose thousands of (private and public) machines world-wide (some owners of which could (still) be ignorant/unable/apathetic).

--

Dave Bradley